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The Idea in Brief

Rivals everywhere are seeking to blunt your strategic edge. How to strike back? Strengthen your organizational capabilities—intangible, hard-to-copy assets such as leadership, efficiency, and innovation. These capabilities reflect your workforce’s collective expertise and define what your firm does best.

But to strengthen organizational capabilities, you have to measure these notoriously difficult-to-quantify assets. Don’t ignore them in favor of tangible, easy-to-quantify assets like facilities and equipment. Intangibles influence corporate success far more.

How to assess your firm’s organizational capabilities, so you can reinforce the crucial ones? Conduct a capabilities audit: identify the two or three capabilities most essential to carrying out your strategy, uncover gaps between actual and desired performance on those capabilities, and devise a plan for improving them. There’s no magic list of proficiencies that every company needs in order to succeed. But there are 11 intangible assets that well-managed companies overall tend to have. These companies typically excel in only three of these capabilities, while maintaining industry parity in the rest.

Companies that use capabilities audits enhance their ability to execute strategy—and generate powerful results. For example, focusing on key capabilities of efficiency and collaboration helped UK-based InterContinental Hotels Group save more than $100 million a year, raise share price 71%, and outperform the FTSE 100 by a factor of two.

The Idea in Practice

CONDUCTING A CAPABILITIES AUDIT

1. Decide which business unit (division, region, entire company) to audit. Any part of your organization responsible for delivering on strategic objectives can benefit from auditing.

   Example: Medical-device manufacturer Boston Scientific targeted its international division for auditing to improve service quality and profitability.

2. Identify capabilities critical to meeting your unit’s goals. Consider these:

   - Talent: attracting, motivating, and retaining competent and committed people
   - Speed: making important changes rapidly
   - Shared mind-set and coherent brand identity: ensuring positive, consistent perceptions of the company among employees and customers
   - Accountability: demanding high performance from employees
   - Collaboration: working effectively across organizational boundaries
   - Learning: generating ideas with impact
   - Leadership: embedding leaders throughout the organization
   - Strategic unity: articulating and sharing a strategic viewpoint
   - Customer connectivity: building enduring relationships of trust with targeted customers
   - Innovation: developing breakthrough products and processes
   - Efficiency: managing costs

3. Use surveys to gather data on current and desired capability performance. Survey respondents may include the unit’s leadership team, employees, investors, suppliers, and customers. They rank the unit’s current performance on each capability you’ve identified, and define performance levels needed for the unit to meet its goals.

   Example: Boston Scientific had international division managers and their bosses, employees, and peers from other units rate the division’s current and desired performance on a list of capabilities.

4. Identify the two or three capabilities most required to deliver on strategic goals. Focus on weaknesses only when they’re strategically important. Determine which current capabilities should be further strengthened to enhance future success.

   Example: Boston Scientific survey respondents, who rated talent as a strength, saw it as critical to the group’s ability to deliver on its customer-related and financial promises. They also identified strategic unity as another vital capability, but rated it as a division weakness.

5. Develop an action plan. Outline steps needed to strengthen key capabilities. Clarify who will deliver on the capabilities and which metrics you’ll monitor.

   Example: Boston Scientific managers decided to invest further in talent—even though it was a division strength—because it was critical to their customer-acquisition strategy. They strengthened marketing talent to target more diverse customers. They also closed the strategic unity gap by developing a clearer statement of strategy that sharpened the group’s focus on service and profitability.
Assets like leadership, talent, and speed are what produce superior market value. A capabilities audit can show you how you measure up—and how to build on your intangible strengths.
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Capitalizing on Capabilities

by Dave Ulrich and Norm Smallwood

If you ask them which companies they admire, people quickly point to organizations like General Electric, Starbucks, Nordstrom, or Microsoft. Ask how many layers of management these companies have, though, or how they set strategy, and you’ll discover that few know or care. What people respect about the companies is not how they are structured or their specific approaches to management, but their capabilities—an ability to innovate, for example, or to respond to changing customer needs. Such organizational capabilities, as we call them, are key intangible assets. You can’t see or touch them, yet they can make all the difference in the world when it comes to market value.

These capabilities—the collective skills, abilities, and expertise of an organization—are the outcome of investments in staffing, training, compensation, communication, and other human resources areas. They represent the ways that people and resources are brought together to accomplish work. They form the identity and personality of the organization by defining what it is good at doing and, in the end, what it is. They are stable over time and more difficult for competitors to copy than capital market access, product strategy, or technology. They aren’t easy to measure, so managers often pay far less attention to them than to tangible investments like plants and equipment, but these capabilities give investors confidence in future earnings. Differences in intangible assets explain why, for example, upstart airline JetBlue’s market valuation is twice as high as Delta’s, despite JetBlue’s having significantly lower revenues and earnings.

In this article, we look at organizational capabilities and how leaders can evaluate them and build the ones needed to create intangible value. Through case examples, we explain how to do a capabilities audit, which provides a high-level picture of an organization’s strengths and areas for improvement. We’ve conducted and observed dozens of such analyses, and we’ve found the audit a powerful way to evaluate intangible assets and render them concrete and measurable.
Organizational Capabilities Explained

While people often use the words “ability,” “competence,” and “capability” interchangeably, we make some distinctions. In technical areas, we refer to an individual’s functional competence or to an organization’s core competencies; on social issues, we refer to an individual’s leadership ability or to an organization’s capabilities. With these differences in mind, let’s compare individual and organizational levels of analysis as well as technical and social skill sets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical</th>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Organizational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 An individual’s functional competence</td>
<td>3 An organization’s core competencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>Individual’s leadership ability</td>
<td>4 An organization’s capabilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the table above, the individual-technical cell (1) represents a person’s functional competence, such as technical expertise in marketing, finance, or manufacturing. The individual-social cell (2) refers to a person’s leadership ability—for instance, to set direction, to communicate a vision, or to motivate people. The organizational-technical cell (3) comprises a company’s core technical competencies. For example, a financial services firm must know how to manage risk. The organizational-social cell (4) represents an organization’s underlying DNA, culture, and personality. These might include such capabilities as innovation and speed.

Organizational capabilities emerge when a company delivers on the combined competencies and abilities of its individuals. An employee may be technically literate or demonstrate leadership skill, but the company as a whole may or may not embody the same strengths. (If it does, employees who excel in these areas will likely be engaged; if not, they may be frustrated.) Additionally, organizational capabilities enable a company to turn its technical know-how into results. A core competence in marketing, for example, won’t add value if the organization isn’t able to spark change.

There is no magic list of capabilities appropriate to every organization. However, we’ve identified 11—listed below—that well-managed companies tend to have. (Such companies typically excel in as many as three of these areas while maintaining industry parity in the others.) When an organization falls below the norm in any of the 11 capabilities, dysfunction and competitive disadvantage will likely ensue.

**Talent:** We are good at attracting, motivating, and retaining competent and committed people. Competent employees have the skills for today’s and tomorrow’s business requirements; committed employees deploy those skills regularly and predictably. Competence comes as leaders buy (acquire new talent), build (develop existing talent), borrow (access thought leaders through alliances or partnerships), bounce (remove poor performers), and bind (keep the best talent). Leaders can earn commitment from employees by ensuring that the ones who contribute more receive more of what matters to them. Means of assessing this organizational capability include productivity measures, retention statistics (though it’s a good sign when employees are targeted by search firms), employee surveys, and direct observation.

**Speed:** We are good at making important changes rapidly. Speed refers to the organization’s ability to recognize opportunities and act quickly, whether to exploit new markets, create new products, establish new employee contracts, or implement new business processes. Speed may be tracked in a variety of ways: how long it takes to go from concept to commercialization, for example, or from the collection of customer data to changes in customer relations. Just as increases in inventory turns show that physical assets are well used, time savings demonstrate improvements in labor productivity as well as increased enthusiasm and responsiveness to opportunities. Leaders should consider creating a return-on-time-invested (ROTI) index, so they can monitor the time required for, and the value created by, various activities.

**Shared Mind-Set and Coherent Brand Identity:** We are good at ensuring that employees and customers have positive and consistent images of and experiences with our organization. To gauge shared mind-set, ask each member of your team to answer the following question: What are the top three things we want to be known for in the future by our best customers? Measure the degree of consensus by calculating the percent of responses that match one of the three most commonly mentioned items. We have done this exercise hundreds of times, often to find a shared mind-set of 50% to 60%;
leading companies score in the 80% to 90% range. The next step is to invite key customers to provide feedback on brand identity. The greater the degree of alignment between internal and external mind-sets, the greater the value of this capability.

**Accountability:** We are good at obtaining high performance from employees. Performance accountability becomes an organizational capability when employees realize that failure to meet their goals would be unacceptable to the company. The way to track it is to examine the tools you use to manage performance. By looking at a performance appraisal form, can you derive the strategy of the business? What percent of employees receive an appraisal each year? How much does compensation vary based on employee performance? Some firms claim a pay-for-performance philosophy but give annual compensation increases that range from 3.5% to 4.5%. These companies aren’t paying for performance. We would suggest that with an average increase of 4%, an ideal range for acknowledging both low and high performance would be 0% to 12%.

**Collaboration:** We are good at working across boundaries to ensure both efficiency and leverage. Collaboration occurs when an organization as a whole gains efficiencies of operation through the pooling of services or technologies, through economies of scale, or through the sharing of ideas and talent across boundaries. Sharing services, for example, has been found to produce a savings of 15% to 25% in administrative costs while maintaining acceptable levels of quality. Knowing that the average large company spends about $1,600 per employee per year on administration, you can calculate the probable cost savings of shared services. Collaboration may be tracked both throughout the organization and among teams. You can determine whether your organization is truly collaborative by calculating its breakup value. Estimate what each division of your company might be worth to a potential buyer, then add up these numbers and compare the total with your current market value. As a rule of thumb, if the breakup value is 25% more than the current market value of the assets, collaboration is not one of the company’s strengths.

**Learning:** We are good at generating and generalizing ideas with impact. Organizations generate new ideas through benchmarking (that is, by looking at what other companies are doing), experimentation, competence acquisition (hiring or developing people with new skills and ideas), and continuous improvement. Such ideas are generalized when they move across a boundary of time (from one leader to the next), space (from one geographic location to another), or division (from one structural entity to another). For individuals, learning means letting go of old practices and adopting new ones.

**Leadership:** We are good at embedding leaders throughout the organization. Companies that consistently produce effective leaders generally have a clear leadership brand—a common understanding of what leaders should know, be, and do. These companies’ leaders are easily distinguished from their competitors’. Former McKinsey employees, for instance, consistently approach strategy from a unique consulting perspective; they take pride in the number of the firm’s alumni who become CEOs of large companies. In October 2003, the *Economist* noted that 19 former GE stars immediately added an astonishing $24.5 billion (cumulatively) to the share prices of the companies that hired them. You can track your organization’s leadership brand by monitoring the pool of future leaders. How many backups do you have for your top 100 employees? In one company, the substitute-to-star ratio dropped from about 3:1 to about 0.7:1 (less than one qualified backup for each of the top 100 employees) after a restructuring and the elimination of certain development assignments. Seeing the damage to the company’s leadership bench, executives encouraged potential leaders to participate in temporary teams, cross-functional assignments, and action-based training activities, thus changing the organization’s substitute-to-star ratio to about 1:1.

**Customer Connectivity:** We are good at building enduring relationships of trust with targeted customers. Since it’s frequently the case that 20% of customers account for 80% of profits, the ability to connect with targeted customers is a strength. Customer connectivity may come from dedicated account teams, databases that track preferences, or the involvement of customers in HR practices such as staffing, training, and compensation. When a large portion of the employee population has meaningful exposure to or interaction with customers, connectivity is enhanced. To
monitor this capability, identify your key accounts and track the share of those important customers over time. Frequent customer-service surveys may also offer insight into how customers perceive your connectivity.

**Strategic Unity:** *We are good at articulating and sharing a strategic point of view.* Strategic unity is created at three levels: intellectual, behavioral, and procedural. To monitor such unity at the intellectual level, make sure employees from top to bottom know what the strategy is and why it is important. You can reinforce this sort of shared understanding by repeating simple messages; you can measure it by noting how consistently employees respond when asked about the company’s strategy. To gauge strategic accord at the behavioral level, ask employees how much of their time is spent in support of the strategy and whether their suggestions for improvement are heard and acted on. When it comes to process, continually invest in procedures that are essential to your strategy. For example, Disney must pay constant attention to any practices relating to the customer-service experience; it must ensure that its amusement parks are always safe and clean and that guests can successfully get directions from any employee.

**Innovation:** *We are good at doing something new in both content and process.* Innovation—whether in products, administrative processes, business strategies, channel strategies, geographic reach, brand identity, or customer service—focuses on the future rather than on past successes. It excites employees, delights customers, and builds confidence among investors. This capability may be tracked through a vitality index (for instance, one that records revenues or profits from products or services created in the last three years).

**Efficiency:** *We are good at managing costs.* While it’s not possible to save your way to prosperity, leaders who fail to manage costs will not likely have the opportunity to grow the top line. Efficiency may be the easiest capability to track. Inventories, direct and indirect labor, capital employed, and costs of goods sold can all be viewed on balance sheets and income statements.

**Conducting a Capabilities Audit**

Just as a financial audit tracks cash flow and a 360-degree review assesses leadership behaviors, a capabilities audit can help you monitor your company’s intangible assets. It will highlight which ones are most important given the company’s history and strategy, measure how well the company delivers on these capabilities, and lead to an action plan for improvement. This exercise can work for an entire organization, a business unit, or a region. Indeed, any part of a company that has a strategy for producing financial or customer-related results can do an audit, as long as it has the backing of the leadership team. We’ll walk through the process below, describing as we go the experiences of two companies that recently performed such audits—Boston Scientific (a medical device manufacturer) and InterContinental Hotels Group—and what they did as a result of their findings.

The Massachusetts-based company Boston Scientific has enjoyed strong growth over the past 25 years. In particular, its international division delivers about 45% of company revenues and 55% of company profits. Yet in 2003, the group’s executives still wanted to find ways to improve on the division’s success, so Edward Northup, president of Boston Scientific International, decided to engage his leadership team in a capabilities audit.

The first step was to identify the areas that were critical in meeting the group’s goals. Using the 11 generic capabilities defined above as a starting point, leaders at Boston Scientific adapted the language to suit their business requirements. (No matter how you create the list, the capabilities you audit should reflect those needed to deliver on your company’s strategic promises.) Next, to evaluate the organization’s performance on these capabilities, the international division’s executives—along with their bosses and employees and a group of peer executives from other divisions—completed a short online survey. Adapted from the generic questionnaire shown in the exhibit “How to Perform a Capabilities Audit,” the survey comprised 20 questions, with space for comments. For each capability, respondents were asked to rate on a scale of one to five the group’s current performance as well as the level of achievement the division would need in order to meet its goals. This exercise showed gaps between current and desired capability. For example, on strategic unity—the extent to which employees understood and agreed upon strategy—the score for actual achievement was 0.91 points lower than the score for desired
How to Perform a Capabilities Audit

A capabilities audit will help you gauge—and ultimately boost—your organization’s intangible value. First, select a business unit (plant, division, region, zone, industry). Then, using the following questions as a guide—and keeping in mind your overall business strategy—assess the unit’s performance in each organizational capability (0=worst; 10=best), and rank the capabilities in terms of improvement needed (1=highest priority, 2=next highest, and so on).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organizational Capabilities</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Assessments</th>
<th>Rankings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Talent</td>
<td>Do our employees have the competencies and the commitment required to deliver the business strategy in question?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>Can we move quickly to make important things happen fast?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared mind-set and coherent brand identity</td>
<td>Do we have a culture or identity that reflects what we stand for and how we work? Is it shared by both customers and employees?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountability</td>
<td>Does high performance matter to the extent that we can ensure execution of strategy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration</td>
<td>How well do we collaborate to gain both efficiency and leverage?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning</td>
<td>Are we good at generating new ideas with impact and generalizing those ideas across boundaries?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>Do we have a leadership brand that directs managers on which results to deliver and how to deliver them?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer connectivity</td>
<td>Do we form enduring relationships of trust with targeted customers?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic unity</td>
<td>Do our employees share an intellectual, behavioral, and procedural agenda for our strategy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>How well do we innovate in product, strategy, channel, service, and administration?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Do we reduce costs by closely managing processes, people, and projects?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
employees from all regions. Supported by external consultants, the team members worked on the review process full-time for several months before making recommendations to the IHG executive committee. Based on this review, efficiency, or reducing costs, quickly became a priority. The company’s costs were 15% to 20% higher than the industry average, and IHG swiftly took measures to streamline its operations among the various regions, creating a shared services center and aligning finance, human resources, and corporate functions.

IHG executives also looked at what capabilities would be essential for future success, assessing actual and desired capabilities in terms of where the company required world-class skill, where it needed to demonstrate industry superiority, and where it needed to achieve industry parity for optimal cost-efficiency. (For a visual breakdown of the areas examined, see the exhibit “A Snapshot of IHG’s Capabilities Audit Results.”) The capabilities under review supported the overarching priority of efficiency. Leaders decided, for example, that the company should achieve world-class performance in collaboration. As part of this strategic push, IHG gave up its decentralized structure, in which each region operated independently and was responsible for its own budget and operation, and became a unified corporate entity whose regions needed to work together to solve budget shortfalls, information technology challenges, and the like. By collaborating across regions and hotels, IHG streamlined operations and saved more than $100 million a year. By focusing on the gap between actual and desired capabilities, company leaders were able to determine where to invest leadership attention. This new focus allowed IHG to fend off the hostile takeover, de-merge successfully, increase its share price by 71% from April 2003 to February 2004, and outperform the FTSE 100 by a factor of two, while reenergizing the company culture. A survey showed dramatic increases in employee morale and confidence in company leadership. The quality of management at the company is no longer a matter of public debate.

**Lessons Learned**

No two audits will look exactly the same, but our experience has shown us that, in general, there are good and bad ways to approach the process. You’ll be on the right track if you observe a few guidelines.

**Get focused.** It’s better to excel at a few targeted capabilities than to diffuse leadership energy over many. Leaders should choose no more than three on which to spend their time and attention; they should aim to make at least two of them world-class. This means identifying which capabilities will have the most impact and will be easiest to implement, and prioritizing accordingly. (Boston Scientific chose talent, leadership, and speed. IHG zeroed in on collaboration and speed since the company’s leaders felt that working across boundaries faster would enable them to reach their strategic and financial goals.) The remaining capabilities identified in the audit should meet standards of industry parity. Investors seldom seek assurance that an organization is average or slightly above average in every area; rather, they want the organization to have a distinct identity that aligns with its strategy.

---

### Does the Talent Deliver?

In an online survey designed to gauge their division’s capabilities, executives at Boston Scientific International asked respondents to answer the following question on a scale of one to five, with one meaning “not at all” and five meaning “absolutely”: Do International leaders ensure that they have the best talent required to accomplish their strategy? The responses were positive but nonetheless indicated room for improvement in this key area.

This exercise made the intangible strengths and weaknesses of the international group tangible. It compared how executives from different parts of Boston Scientific—inside and outside the international group—viewed the division’s capabilities, and it provided a baseline score against which to measure the impact of future investments in these capabilities. Leaders plan to revisit the effort in a year to learn whether their investments have made a difference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Talent Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations Committee</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Marketing Leaders</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Senior Staff</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Functional Leaders</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Score 3.95

---
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Recognize the interdependence of capabilities. While you need to be focused, it’s important to understand that capabilities depend on one another. So even though you should target no more than three for primary attention, the most important ones often need to be combined. For example, speed won’t be enough on its own; you will likely need fast learning, fast innovation, or fast collaboration. As any capability improves, it will probably improve others in turn. We assume that no capabilities are built without leaders, so working on any one of them builds leadership. As the quality of leadership improves, talent and collaboration issues often surface—and in the process of resolving those problems, the company usually strengthens its accountability and learning.

Learn from the best. Compare your organization with companies that have world-class performance in your target capabilities. Quite possibly, these companies won’t be in the same industry as your organization. It’s often helpful, therefore, to look for analogous industries where companies may have developed extraordinary strength in the capability you desire. For example, hotels and airlines have many differences, but they’re comparable when it comes to several driving forces: stretching capital assets, pleasing travelers, employing direct-service workers, and so on. The advantage of looking outside your own industry for models is that you can emulate them without competing with them. They’re far more likely than your top competitors to share insights with you.

Create a virtuous cycle of assessment and investment. A rigorous assessment helps company executives figure out what capabilities will be required for success, so they can in turn decide where to invest. Over time, repetitions of the assessment-investment cycle result in a baseline that can be useful for benchmarking.

Compare capability perceptions. Like 360-degree feedback in leadership assessments, capabilities audits sometimes reveal differing views of the organization. For example, employees or customers may not agree with top leaders’ perception that there is a shared mind-set. Involve stakeholders in improvement plans. If investors rank the firm low on various capabilities, for instance, the CEO or CFO might want to meet with the investors to discuss specific action plans for moving forward.

Match capability with delivery. Leaders need to do more than talk about capability; they need to demonstrate it. Rhetoric shouldn’t exceed action. Expectations for improvement should be outlined in a detailed plan. One approach is to bring together lead-

A Snapshot of IHG’s Capabilities Audit Results

InterContinental Hotels Group executives chose which capabilities would be most essential to the company’s future success and then collected feedback on how well IHG delivered on these capabilities. The accompanying chart shows both actual and desired levels of accomplishment. In the capabilities designated critical for world-class success, IHG needed to invest fairly significantly in improvements. In the areas that demanded superior performance, it needed to invest, but not as heavily. And when it came to the capabilities where IHG needed to be on par, the company was already on target and could thus focus on efficiency and cost reduction.
Step-by-Step Through the Audit Process

While the particulars of a capabilities audit will differ from company to company, leaders should follow these five basic steps:

1. **Determine which part of the business to audit.** This can be a division, a region, the entire company—any unit responsible for delivering on a strategy.

2. **Create the content of the audit.** Adapt the 11 generic capabilities outlined in this article to the organization’s requirements. (You may want to add “quality,” for example.) A tailor-made audit template will yield the most precise information.

3. **Gather data from multiple groups on current and desired capabilities.** This information may be collected by degrees.
   - For a 90-degree assessment, collect data only from the leadership team of the unit being audited. This method is quick but often deceptive, as the leaders’ self-reports may be biased.
   - For a 360-degree assessment, collect data from multiple groups within the company. Different groups may tell very different stories, as happened at Boston Scientific International, and can provide insights that might otherwise be missed.
   - For a 720-degree assessment, collect information not only from inside the company but from outside groups. External assessors might include investors, customers, or suppliers. These groups are important because it is in their eyes that the organization’s intangible value matters most. InterContinental Hotels Group did a 720-degree assessment to some extent by including franchisees in its data sample.

4. **Synthesize the data to identify the most critical capabilities requiring managerial attention.** Look for patterns in the data and focus leadership attention on no more than three capabilities required to deliver on strategy goals. You’ll need to identify which capabilities will have the most impact and which will be the easiest to improve.

5. **Put together an action plan with clear steps to take and measures to monitor, and assign a team to the job of delivering on the critical capabilities.** Actions might include coordinating education or training events, setting performance standards, creating task forces or other organizational units to house those doing the work, or investing in technology to sustain the capability. Establish a time frame of 90 days for the plan’s execution.
Capitalizing on Capabilities
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How to Have an Honest Conversation About Your Business Strategy
by Michael Beer and Russell A. Eisenstat
Harvard Business Review
February 2004
Product no. 5925

This article provides additional ideas for gathering data about your firm's current and desired capability performance. The authors lay out steps for a strategic conversation: 1) Senior managers draft a statement about strategy based on individual answers to questions such as "What are our company's objectives and aspirations?" and "What unique value do we offer customers?" 2) Members of a task force ask key employees "What strengths should we build on, and what barriers should we remove, to implement this strategy?" 3) Task force members present employee feedback themes in a roundtable discussion, while executives listen without interruption or debate. 4) Executives distill core strengths and weaknesses in organizational structure, culture, and capabilities, deciding how to leverage strengths and correct weaknesses.

Deep Change: How Operational Innovation Can Transform Your Company
by Michael Hammer
Harvard Business Review
April 2004
Product no. 6573

This article examines the organizational capability efficiency, which enables you to create new ways, not just better ways, of working. The payoff? You cut costs, perform key strategic processes more quickly, and boost customer satisfaction.

To generate these operational innovations: 1) Seek role models outside your own industry. (Benchmarking within your industry doesn't lead to breakthroughs.) 2) Identify and eradi- cate the assumption that slows progress toward your strategic goal. One hospital began responding to physician referrals more quickly by challenging the assumption that beds must be assigned before patients were accepted. Now it assigns them after accepting patients—while patients are en route. 3) Turn do-or-die innovations into everyday practice. After a sudden surge in demand forced a packaged-goods maker to devise a way of conveying real-time demand information to manufacturing, the company standardized the process—slashing costs and delighting customers.
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